



The Next Generation of Binary Evolution Models

Philip Davis

Collaborators: L. Siess, R. Deschamps, W. Van Rensbergen, J.-P. De Greve, U. Kolb, C. Knigge

Overview

- Testing the disrupted magnetic braking model
- How well can we model the common envelope (CE) phase?
- Calculating the CE ejection efficiency
- Introducing BINSTAR: state-of-the-art binary evolution code





BiSEPS

- Binary System Evolution and Population
 Synthesis (Willems & Kolb 2002)
- Single star evolution fit formulae: Hurley, Pols & Tout (2000)
- Based on binary evolution scheme outlined in Hurley, Tout & Pols (2002)
- Incorporated physically consistent treatment of mass transfer and reaction of donor to mass loss (see Davis et al. 2008)



Modelling the CE phase

Energy Budget Webbink 1984 Angular Momentum Budget Nelemans et al. 2000

$$\alpha_{CE} \ \Delta E_{orb} = E_{bind} \qquad \frac{\Delta J_{bin}}{J_{bin}} = \gamma \frac{\Delta M_{bin}}{M_{bin}}$$

So which is it?

Davis, Kolb & Willems 2010



Reconstructing the CE Phase



Modelling α_{CE}

- Multi-regression fits
- Does adding additional terms improve the model?

$$\log_{10} \alpha_{CE} = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \log_{10} Q_i , \quad Q \in \{P_{CE,i}, M_2, M_{WD}, M_1\}$$

In terms of progenitor parameters

$$\log \alpha_{CE} = -1.04 - (2.10 \pm 0.24) \times \log \left(\frac{M_{WD}}{M_{\odot}}\right)$$

BINSTAR

Siess 2001-2010	Binary Physics
• Evolution of single stors	• the two stars and evolution of g and
$(0.1 - 80 \text{ Msun}), 0 < Z < 2Z_{o}, up to Ne$	<i>e</i> solved simultaneously
burning	
	• Self-consistent treatment of tides
 Angular momentum transport (Zahn 1992) 	(Zahn 1989)
,	Mass transfer via winds and RLOF
• Extra mixing (thermohaline, semi-	
conv, overshooting)	Stellar rotation due to mass loss/
	accretion + magnetic braking
• particularly suited for PMS, RGB, AGB,	
SAGB stars	

Code Validation

6+3.6, P=3.5d



case A mass transfer :
excellent agreement
with VUB models
from Van Rensbergen
& De Greve

Tests also conducted for Case B and C mass transfer

Scientific Objectives

- Mass ratio distribution of Algols
- Algols with $P_{\rm orb} < 1$ days
- Accreting star not close to break-up and role of magnetic braking
- Mass transfer and tides in eccentric orbits, and implications for e.g. Barium stars.

Summary

- "Mirror gap": an observational test for disrupted magnetic braking
- Energy budget formalism cannot account for sharp decrease in PCEBs with *P*_{orb}
- Require an additional energy source to gravitational energy?
- Model of α_{CE} in terms of white dwarf mass
- Use BINSTAR to investigate evolution of Algols and chemically peculiar stars e.g. Barium stars

References

Davis P. J., Kolb U., Willems B., Gänsicke B. T., 2008, MNRAS, 389, 1563 Davis P. J., Kolb U., Willems B., 2010, MNRAS, 403, 179 De Marco et al., 2011, MNRAS, 411, 2277 Hurley J. R., Pols O. R., Tout C. A., 2000, MNRAS, 315, 543 Hurley J. R., Tout C. A., Pols O. R., 2002, MNRAS, 329, 897 Paczynski B., 1976, Proc. IAU, Symp. 73, p. 73 Nelemans et al. 2000, A&A, 360, 1011 Rappaport S., Verbunt F., Joss P. C., 1983, ApJ, 275, 713 Ritter H., Kolb U., 2003, A&A, 404, 301 Siess L., 2010, A&A, 512, 10 Spruit H. C., Ritter H., 1983, A&A, 124, 267 Webbink R. F., 1984, ApJ, 277, 355 Willems B., Kolb U., 2002, MNRAS, 337, 1004 Zorotovic M., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 520, 86

Appendix



Reconstructing the CE phase

