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## Eccentricity

Semi-major axis vs Eccentricity / $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}>0.6 \mathrm{M}_{\text {Jupiter }}$
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## Inclinations: some clues



Comparison of Solar System with Upsilon Andromedae System
u Andromedae System
Mutual Inclination between c \& d orbits $\sim 30^{\circ}$
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## Planetary system architecture

- Observations: the orbits of extrasolar systems are more various than the circular and coplanar ones of the Solar system

In particular, RV-detected giant planets:

- Very diversified eccentricities
- Mutual inclinations unknown
- GOAL: How to explain the formation of the RV-detected giant planet systems?


## Planet formation

## Gas giants form:

- Outside the "snow-line"
- Circular and co-planar orbits


Beyond the snow line, where water freezes, ices add to planet-building material, leading to the large Jovian planets

## Late-stage formation of giant planetary systems

- STAGE I / During the disc phase: Giant planet migration (Type II) (e.g. Lin \& Papaloizou 1986a, Kley 2000, Nelson et al. 2000)
$\rightarrow$ Eccentricity and inclination excitations
(e.g. Thommes \& Lissauer 2003, Libert \& Tsiganis 2009, Teyssandier \& Terquem 2014)
- STAGE II / After the disc phase: Planet-planet scattering
(e.g. Weidenschilling \& Marzari 1996, Ford \& Rasio 2008, Juric \& Tremaine 2008, Chatterjee et al. 2008)
$\rightarrow$ Eccentricity and inclination excitations (e distribution fits to observations) BUT $\rightarrow$ Initial conditions problem
- Combined action of both previous mechanisms: Planet-planet interactions DURING migration in the protoplanetary disc (e.g. Adams \& Laughlin 2003, Matsumara et al. 2010, Libert \& Tsiganis 2011)


## Model description

Previous n-body studies
$1^{\text {st }}$ order approximation for eccentricity damping
, $\frac{\dot{e}}{e}=-K \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$
4
No effect of the disc on the Inclinations of the planets

$$
\frac{\dot{I}}{I}=?
$$

## Model description

## Bitsch et al. 2013: 3D Hydrodynamical simulations

Averaged torques acting on the planet in every orbit
Damping formulae for $e$ and $i$ (valid for e<2/3, strong damping) Suitable for $\boldsymbol{n}$-body simulations $\rightarrow$ in our model

ECC

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(i_{\mathrm{P}}\right)=-\frac{M_{\text {disc }}}{0.01 M_{\star}}\left(a\left(i_{\mathrm{P}}+i_{\mathrm{D}}\right)^{-2 b}+c i_{\mathrm{P}}^{-2 d}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(i_{\mathrm{P}}, M_{\mathrm{P}}, e_{\mathrm{P}}\right)=12.65 \frac{M_{\mathrm{P}} M_{\text {disc }}}{M_{\star}^{2}} e_{\mathrm{P}} \exp \left(-\left(\frac{\left(i_{\mathrm{P}} / 1^{\circ}\right)}{\tilde{M}_{\mathrm{p}}}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& a_{\mathrm{e}}\left(M_{\mathrm{P}}, e_{\mathrm{P}}\right)=80 e_{\mathrm{P}}^{-2} \exp \left(-e_{\mathrm{P}}^{2} \tilde{M}_{\mathrm{p}} / 0.26\right) 15^{\tilde{M}_{\mathrm{p}}}\left(20+11 \tilde{M}_{\mathrm{p}}-\tilde{M}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}\right) \\
& b_{\mathrm{e}}\left(M_{\mathrm{P}}\right)=0.3 \tilde{M}_{\mathrm{p}} \\
& c_{\mathrm{e}}\left(M_{\mathrm{P}}\right)=450+2^{\tilde{M}_{\mathrm{P}}} \\
& d_{\mathrm{e}}\left(M_{\mathrm{P}}\right)=-1.4+\sqrt{\tilde{M}_{\mathrm{p}}} / 6 .
\end{aligned}
$$

INCL

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i}\left(M_{\mathrm{P}}, e_{\mathrm{P}}\right)= & 1.5 \times 10^{4}\left(2-3 e_{\mathrm{P}}\right) \tilde{M}_{\mathrm{p}}^{3} \\
b_{i}\left(M_{\mathrm{P}}, e_{\mathrm{P}}\right)= & 1+\tilde{M}_{\mathrm{p}} e_{\mathrm{P}}^{2} / 10 \\
c_{i}\left(M_{\mathrm{P}}, e_{\mathrm{P}}\right)= & 1.2 \times 10^{6} /\left[\left(2-3 e_{\mathrm{P}}\right)\left(5+e_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}\left(\tilde{M}_{\mathrm{p}}+2\right)^{3}\right)\right] \\
d_{i}\left(e_{\mathrm{P}}\right)= & -3+2 e_{\mathrm{P}} \\
g_{i}\left(M_{\mathrm{P}}, e_{\mathrm{P}}\right)= & \sqrt{3 \tilde{M}_{\mathrm{p}} /\left(e_{\mathrm{P}}+0.001\right)} \times 1^{\circ} \\
\mathcal{F}_{i}\left(M_{\mathrm{P}}, e_{\mathrm{P}}, i_{\mathrm{P}}\right)= & -\frac{M_{\text {disc }}}{0.01 M_{\star}}\left[a_{i}\left(\frac{i_{\mathrm{p}}}{1^{\circ}}\right)^{-2 b_{i}} \exp \left(-\left(i_{\mathrm{P}} / g_{i}\right)^{2} / 2\right)\right. \\
& \left.+c_{i}\left(\frac{i_{\mathrm{p}}}{40^{\circ}}\right)^{-2 d_{i}}\right]^{-1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Model description

- 11000 simulations of 3 giant planet systems in the late stage of the disc (SyMBA, $2 \times 10^{\wedge} 5$ computational hours (CECI clusters))
- Type-II migration + improved damping effect (Bitsch et al. 2013)
- Planets with mass in [1, 10] $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Jup }}$ initially outside the snowline, on quasi-circular and coplanar orbits
- Different initial system configurations, planetary mass ratios, disc masses $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\text {disc }}\right.$ in $\left.[4,32] \mathrm{M}_{\text {Jup }}\right)$
- Exponential decrease of the disc mass, with a dispersal time of ~1 Myr


## Objectives

- Impact of the eccentricity and inclination damping on the final system configurations ( $a-e-I$ )
- If non-coplanar systems, identification of dynamical mechanisms producing inclination increase







## Dynamical mechanisms for inclination increase

Planet - planet scattering during the gas phase

- 1:3 MMR capture for the outer planets
- Subsequent increase of eccentricities
- When the inner pair approaches the 3:7 commensurability, destabilization of the whole system
- Ejection of the inner less massive body
- Remaining planets in inclined orbits with large eccentricity variations and large orbital separation



## Dynamical mechanisms for inclination increase

Three-body mean-motion resonance

- Capture in a 1:2:4 Laplace resonance
- Subsequent increase of the eccentricities
- When the eccentricities are high enough, inclination-type resonance
- Strong damping: planets back to the midplane
- Exponential decay of the gas disc: inclination-type resonance produces high inclinations maintained for a long time


## Semi-major axis distribution



## Eccentricity distribution



Perfect agreement up to 0.35 , lack of highly eccentric orbits

## Eccentricity distribution



## Inclination distribution


$3 \%$ of the systems have mutual inclination $>10^{\circ}$ at the dispersal of the disc

## Long-term evolution

- Orbital adjustements due to planet-planet interactions can occur on a longer timescale AFTER the disc phase
- Additional subset Integration up to 100 Myrs
- Number of planets at 1.4d6 and 1d8

|  | 1 planet | 2 planets | 3 planets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.4 d 6 yrs | $7 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 1 d 8 yrs | $12 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $32 \%$ |



## Long-term evolution

No significant change on the semi-major axis and eccentricity distributions

5\% of the systems have mutual inclination $>10^{\circ}$ on long-time scale



## Summary

- Good agreement between our simulations and the observed population of extrasolar systems
- Eccentricities well-diversified at the dispersal of the disc, despite the strong damping exerted by the disc
- Very efficient damping exerted by the disc on the inclinations: most of the planets end up in the midplane
- Inclination-type resonance and planet-planet scattering events during/after the gas phase induce inclination excitation: $5 \%$ of highly mutually inclined systems $\left(>10^{\circ}\right)$ in our population
- Future observations: percentage of inclined systems could help to discriminate between the formation scenarios


## Next step: Terrestrial planet formation

What is the impact of the eccentric and inclined giant planet population on the terrestrial planet formation process?

## Terrestrial planet formation

## Initial conditions for our simulations



## Terrestrial planet formation

## Preliminary results

- Semi-major axis vs eccentricity for a co-planar system (POP-II) initially in a 2:1 MMR
- We have 4 Earth-like planets in near-circular orbits
- One of them inside the habitable zone




## Terrestrial planet formation

## Preliminary results

- Semi-major axis vs inclination for a 3D system (POP-I)
- Giants with initial $\mathrm{I}_{\text {mut }}=30^{\circ}$
- At 100 Myrs, one planet with 0.2 Earth's mass inside the habitable zone with <1>~25 ${ }^{\circ}$

Formation of inclined
terrestrial planets is possible with the classical accretion theory

