

17th Meeting of the FNRS Contact Group Astronomy & Astrophysics Astronomy Day of the Royal Observatory of Belgium 11/10/2016

#### Formation of giant planetary systems: Planet-planet interactions during the gas phase

#### S. Sotiriadis\*, A.-S. Libert

naXys, Department of Mathematics, University of Namur

Joint work with B. Bitsch (Lund Observatory) A. Crida (Nice Observatory)



\*ExtraOrDynHa F.R.S.- FNRS PDR

# **Planetary system architecture**

• Observations: the orbits of extrasolar systems are **more various** than the circular and coplanar ones of the Solar system

# **Planetary system architecture**

 Observations: the orbits of extrasolar systems are more various than the circular and coplanar ones of the Solar system

In particular, **RV-detected giant planets**:

• Very diversified eccentricities

#### **Eccentricity**

Semi-major axis vs Eccentricity / Mp > 0.6 MJupiter



Eccentricity

Observational data: exoplanets.org

# **Planetary system architecture**

 Observations: the orbits of extrasolar systems are more various than the circular and coplanar ones of the Solar system

In particular, **RV-detected giant planets**:

- Very diversified eccentricities
- Mutual inclinations unknown

#### Inclinations: some clues



#### **Comparison of Solar System with Upsilon Andromedae System**



#### υ Andromedae System

Mutual Inclination between c & d orbits ~30°

STScI-PRC10-17b

# **Planetary system architecture**

 Observations: the orbits of extrasolar systems are more various than the circular and coplanar ones of the Solar system

In particular, **RV-detected giant planets**:

- Very diversified eccentricities
- Mutual inclinations unknown
- **GOAL:** How to explain the formation of the RV-detected giant planet systems?

## Planet formation

Gas giants form:

- Outside the "snow-line"
- Circular and co-planar orbits



Image source: W.J.Freeman, Universe, Tenth Edition

# Late-stage formation of giant planetary systems

- **STAGE I** / During the disc phase: Giant planet migration (Type II) (e.g. Lin & Papaloizou 1986a, Kley 2000, Nelson et al. 2000)
  - Eccentricity and inclination excitations

(e.g. Thommes & Lissauer 2003, Libert & Tsiganis 2009, Teyssandier & Terquem 2014)

- **STAGE II / After the disc phase:** Planet-planet scattering (e.g. Weidenschilling & Marzari 1996, Ford & Rasio 2008, Juric & Tremaine 2008, Chatterjee et al. 2008)
  - → Eccentricity and inclination excitations (e distribution fits to observations)
    BUT → Initial conditions problem
- Combined action of both previous mechanisms: Planet-planet interactions DURING migration in the protoplanetary disc (e.g. Adams & Laughlin 2003, Matsumara et al. 2010, Libert & Tsiganis 2011)

#### **Model description**

#### Previous n-body studies

**1**<sup>st</sup> order approximation for eccentricity damping

$$\frac{\dot{e}}{e} = -K\frac{\dot{a}}{a}$$

No effect of the disc on the Inclinations of the planets

$$\frac{\dot{I}}{I} = ?$$

#### **Model description**

#### **Bitsch et al. 2013: 3D Hydrodynamical simulations**

Averaged torques acting on the planet in every orbit

Damping formulae for *e* and *i* (valid for e < 2/3, strong damping) Suitable for *n*-body simulations  $\rightarrow$  in our model

#### ECC

#### INCL

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(M_{\rm P}, e_{\rm P}) &= 1.5 \times 10^4 (2 - 3e_{\rm P}) \tilde{M}_{\rm p}^3 \\ b_i(M_{\rm P}, e_{\rm P}) &= 1 + \tilde{M}_{\rm p} e_{\rm P}^2 / 10 \\ c_i(M_{\rm P}, e_{\rm P}) &= 1.2 \times 10^6 / \left[ (2 - 3e_{\rm P}) \left( 5 + e_{\rm P}^2 \left( \tilde{M}_{\rm p} + 2 \right)^3 \right) \right] \\ d_i(e_{\rm P}) &= -3 + 2e_{\rm P} \\ g_i(M_{\rm P}, e_{\rm P}) &= \sqrt{3 \tilde{M}_{\rm p} / (e_{\rm P} + 0.001)} \times 1^\circ \\ f_i(M_{\rm P}, e_{\rm P}, i_{\rm P}) &= -\frac{M_{\rm disc}}{0.01 \, M_{\star}} \left[ a_i \left( \frac{i_{\rm P}}{1^\circ} \right)^{-2b_i} \exp(-(i_{\rm P}/g_i)^2 / 2) \right. \\ &+ c_i \left( \frac{i_{\rm P}}{40^\circ} \right)^{-2d_i} \right]^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

# **Model description**

- **11000 simulations of 3 giant planet systems** in the late stage of the disc (SyMBA, 2 x 10^5 computational hours (*CECI clusters*))
- **Type-II migration + improved damping effect** (Bitsch et al. 2013)
- Planets with mass in [1, 10]  $M_{Jup}$  initially outside the snowline, on quasi-circular and coplanar orbits
- Different initial system configurations, planetary mass ratios, disc masses (  $M_{disc}$  in [4,32]  $M_{Jup}$ )
- Exponential decrease of the disc mass, with a dispersal time of ~1 Myr

#### **Objectives**

- Impact of the eccentricity and inclination damping on the final system configurations (a e I)
- If non-coplanar systems, identification of dynamical mechanisms producing inclination increase



#### Dynamical mechanisms for inclination increase

Planet – planet scattering during the gas phase

- 1:3 MMR capture for the outer planets
- Subsequent increase of eccentricities
- When the inner pair approaches the 3:7 commensurability, destabilization of the whole system
- Ejection of the inner less massive body
- Remaining planets in inclined orbits with large eccentricity variations and large orbital separation



#### Dynamical mechanisms for inclination increase

#### Three-body mean-motion resonance

- Capture in a 1:2:4 Laplace resonance
- Subsequent increase of the eccentricities
- When the eccentricities are high enough, inclination-type resonance
- Strong damping: planets back to the midplane
- Exponential decay of the gas disc: inclination-type resonance produces high inclinations maintained for a long time

#### Semi-major axis distribution



Observations: exoplanets.org

#### **Eccentricity distribution**



Perfect agreement up to 0.35, lack of highly eccentric orbits

Observations: exoplanets.org

#### **Eccentricity distribution**



#### **Inclination distribution**



3% of the systems have mutual inclination > 10° at the dispersal of the disc

# Long-term evolution

- Orbital adjustements due to planet-planet interactions can occur on a longer timescale AFTER the disc phase
- Additional subset Integration up to 100 Myrs
- Number of planets at 1.4d6 and 1d8

|           | 1 planet | 2 planets | 3 planets |
|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1.4d6 yrs | 7 %      | 50 %      | 40 %      |
| 1d8 yrs   | 12 %     | 53 %      | 32 %      |



### **Long-term evolution**

No significant change on the semi-major axis and eccentricity distributions 5% of the systems have mutual inclination > 10° on long-time scale



#### Summary

- **Good agreement** between our simulations and the observed population of extrasolar systems
- *Eccentricities well-diversified* at the dispersal of the disc, despite the strong damping exerted by the disc
- Very efficient damping exerted by the disc on the inclinations: *most of the planets end up in the midplane*
- Inclination-type resonance and planet-planet scattering events during/after the gas phase induce inclination excitation: 5% of highly mutually inclined systems (>10°) in our population
- Future observations: percentage of inclined systems could help to discriminate between the formation scenarios

#### Next step: Terrestrial planet formation

What is the impact of the **eccentric and inclined** giant planet population on the **terrestrial planet formation** process?

#### **Terrestrial planet formation**

Initial conditions for our simulations



# **Terrestrial planet formation**

Preliminary results

- Semi-major axis vs **eccentricity** for a co-planar system (POP-II) initially in a 2:1 MMR
- We have 4 Earth-like planets in near-circular orbits
- One of them inside the habitable zone



### **Terrestrial planet formation**

Preliminary results

- Semi-major axis vs inclination for a 3D system (POP-I)
- Giants with initial  $I_{mut} = 30^{\circ}$
- At 100 Myrs, one planet with 0.2 Earth's mass inside the habitable zone with <I>~25°

Formation of inclined terrestrial planets is possible with the classical accretion theory

#### **TO BE CONTINUED**

